UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		Adrian Arellano-Mares	Case Number:	11-6447M		
present	and was			was held on September 6, 2011. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the		
I find by	/ a prepo	FINDING production of the evidence that:	NGS OF FACT			
	X	The defendant is not a citizen of the United S	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
	X	The defendant, at the time of the charged off	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.					
	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
		The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	ears imprisonment.		
at the ti	The Cou	e hearing in this matter, except as noted in th	e record.	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
	1. 2. The def	There is a serious risk that the defendant will No condition or combination of conditions will DIRECTIONS RE endant is committed to the custody of the Atto	II reasonably assure EGARDING DETENT orney General or his/	her designated representative for confinement in		
appeal. of the U	The def Inited Sta ant to the	fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opporto ates or on request of an attorney for the Gover e United States Marshal for the purpose of an APPEALS AND 1	unity for private consi nment, the person ir appearance in conn THIRD PARTY RELE	EASE		
deliver a	IT IS OF a copy of	RDERED that should an appeal of this detenti f the motion for review/reconsideration to Preti	on order be filed with rial Services at least	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
Service investig	s sufficie	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third ently in advance of the hearing before the Dispotential third party custodian.	party is to be consid strict Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
	DATE	D this 7 th day of September, 2011.				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge